Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa		Application No. Applicant(s)		
	narv	10/766,567	SCOTT, ROBERT B	3.
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sarah Purol	3634	
All Participants: Status of Application:				
(1) <u>Sarah Purol</u> .		(3)	`	
(2) <u>Dennis Haase</u> .		(4)		٠
Date of Interview: <u>10 February 2005</u>		Time: <u>10:15AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
1,2				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Amendments to claims 1 and 2 were agreed upon in order to more clearly define the invention. See attached Examiner's Amendment. No further response from applicant is necessary				
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
1 1 0 0				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applican	t/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropri	iate)